



## WAVERLEY COMMUNITY PARTNERSHIP 2009/10

### EVALUATION SUMMARY SHEET

<b>Organisation</b>	Age Concern Waverley Rowleys
<b>Grant Requested</b>	£48,400
<b>Officer Recommended grant</b>	£46,970
<b>Summary of purpose for requested grant from application</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▶ To continue to run centre by providing hairdressing, well-being room, eye tests, hearing tests, lunches, snacks.</li> <li>▶ Physiotherapy &amp; 50+ body awareness</li> <li>▶ CAB outreach held monthly</li> <li>▶ Lunches – more options, flexible times</li> <li>▶ Development programme of healthy living initiatives – talks &amp; walks</li> <li>▶ Identify customers by creating a club membership database</li> <li>▶ Work with other organisations to reach 50+ age group</li> </ul>
<b>Ward Member/Waverley Appointed Representative comments</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▶ Many residents are under the impression that the building has closed which is having an adverse impact on attendance numbers</li> <li>▶ Rowleys is a 50+ centre and is attempting to encourage more of this younger age group to come to Rowley's but reducing budgets has already curtailed these plans</li> <li>▶ The community greatly values the service and there would be great distress if the services were to be curtailed.</li> </ul>
<b>Information From Latest Set of Accounts (shown as separate activity in the accounts of Age Concern Waverley)</b>	
<b>Gross Revenue Expenditure</b>	£139,644
<b>Level of Unrestricted Funds</b>	Low
<b>Level of Waverley funding in relation to running costs</b>	Medium/high (33% in 2007/08)
<b>Income received from funders other than Waverley</b>	£17,411
<b>Officer suggested priority grading</b>	High Priority 33/35

#### Officer calculation

#### Unrestricted Funds

< 75% = low

76% - 124% = medium

> 125% = high

#### Waverley Funding

< 20% = low

21% - 40% = medium

> 41% = high



# WAVERLEY COMMUNITY PARTNERSHIP 2009/10

## EVALUATION SUMMARY SHEET

<b>Organisation</b>	Age Concern Farncombe
<b>Grant Requested</b>	£48,127
<b>Officer Recommended grant</b>	£45,840
<b>Summary of purpose for requested grant from application</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▶ To part-fund the wages of staff - 2 managers, cleaner, cook, kitchen assistant, 2 minibus drivers, care assistant 2 bathing assistants, activities organiser + 46 volunteers</li> <li>▶ Staff training</li> </ul>
<b>Ward Member/Waverley Appointed Representative comments</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▶ A vital centre to come of the most deprived areas in the borough, uniquely well situated with good transport access</li> <li>▶ High value service and high ability to meet Corporate priorities</li> <li>▶ Application documentation makes it clear that if funding at, or very near the level requested, is not given there is a real risk of closure</li> </ul>
<b>Information From Latest Set of Accounts</b>	
<b>Gross Revenue Expenditure</b>	£105,364
<b>Level of Unrestricted Funds</b>	Low (31%)
<b>Level of Waverley funding in relation to running costs</b>	High (42% in 2007/08) 44% requested in 2009/10
<b>Income received from funders other than Waverley</b>	£3,966
<b>Officer suggested priority grading</b>	High Priority 33/35

### Officer calculation

#### Unrestricted Funds

< 75% = low

76% - 124% = medium

> 125% = high

#### Waverley Funding

< 20% = low

21% - 40% = medium

> 41% = high



# WAVERLEY COMMUNITY PARTNERSHIP 2009/10

## EVALUATION SUMMARY SHEET

<b>Organisation</b>	Age Concern Haslemere & District
<b>Grant Requested</b>	£58,000
<b>Officer Recommended grant</b>	£45,840
<b>Summary of purpose for requested grant from application</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▶ Manager, deputy manager</li> <li>▶ rent to Haslewey &amp; office space and facilities x 5 days</li> <li>▶ catering costs not covered by income</li> <li>▶ administration</li> <li>▶ training for staff &amp; volunteers</li> </ul>
<b>Ward Member/Waverley Appointed Representative comments</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▶ Provides an inexpensive meeting point for the less affluent and some vulnerable or isolated elderly residents, especially from local sheltered housing</li> <li>▶ Medium priority benefit to residents</li> <li>▶ In long-term, need to learn to fundraise and the canteen should provide an income stream</li> </ul>
<b>Information From Latest Set of Accounts</b>	
<b>Gross Revenue Expenditure</b>	£102,413
<b>Level of Unrestricted Funds</b>	Low
<b>Level of Waverley funding in relation to running costs</b>	High (43% in 2007/08) 52% requested for 2009/10
<b>Income received from funders other than Waverley</b>	£17,500
<b>Officer suggested priority grading</b>	High Priority 33/35

### Officer calculation

#### Unrestricted Funds

< 75% = low

76% - 124% = medium

> 125% = high

#### Waverley Funding

< 20% = low

21% - 40% = medium

> 41% = high



## WAVERLEY COMMUNITY PARTNERSHIP 2009/10

### EVALUATION SUMMARY SHEET

<b>Organisation</b>	Brightwells Gostrey Centre
<b>Grant Requested</b>	£45,836
<b>Officer Recommended grant</b>	£45,840
<b>Summary of purpose for requested grant from application</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▶ To support general running costs and maintain an open-door service</li> <li>▶ To increase the number of people regularly using the centre</li> <li>▶ Developing activities to include more opportunity for learning, cultural &amp; social activities</li> </ul>
<b>Ward Member/Waverley Appointed Representative comments</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▶ High quality service and the best possible care</li> <li>▶ High priority benefit to vulnerable people, many of whom are lonely</li> <li>▶ Meets Waverley's corporate priorities to a high extent</li> <li>▶ The building is unsuitable and Brightwells suffers from an image problem which does not encourage attracting a wider clientele</li> </ul>
<b>Information From Latest Set of Accounts</b>	
<b>Gross Revenue Expenditure</b>	£123,281
<b>Level of Unrestricted Funds</b>	Low (34%)
<b>Level of Waverley funding in relation to running costs</b>	Medium (36% in 2007/08)
<b>Income received from funders other than Waverley</b>	£5,215
<b>Officer suggested priority grading</b>	High Priority 33/35

#### Officer calculation

#### **Unrestricted Funds**

< 75% = low

76% - 124% = medium

> 125% = high

#### **Waverley Funding**

< 20% = low

21% - 40% = medium

> 41% = high



# WAVERLEY COMMUNITY PARTNERSHIP 2009/10

## EVALUATION SUMMARY SHEET

<b>Organisation</b>	The Clockhouse
<b>Grant Requested</b>	£49,000
<b>Officer Recommended grant</b>	£49,000
<b>Summary of purpose for requested grant from application</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▶ General running costs plus other projects =</li> <li>▶ To avoid subsidising budgeted expenditure from the legacy fund &amp; retain modest levels of reserves</li> <li>▶ Continue marketing benefits of service</li> <li>▶ Introduce regular creative dance sessions</li> <li>▶ Replace the minibus &amp; expand transport facilities</li> <li>▶ Launch the Clockhouse website</li> <li>▶ Generate reserves by maximising hiring of building</li> <li>▶ Provide work placement for 'Employ Ability'</li> <li>▶ Introduce beauty therapy &amp; head massage sessions</li> </ul>
<b>Ward Member/Waverley Appointed Representative comments</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▶ Average age of membership is over 80, 95% of which live alone</li> <li>▶ Services fully comply with Waverley's corporate priorities</li> <li>▶ The centre, trustees and fund-raising committee believe they can deliver all the required services in 2009/10</li> </ul>
<b>Information From Latest Set of Accounts</b>	
<b>Gross Revenue Expenditure</b>	£202,176
<b>Level of Unrestricted Funds</b>	High (134%)
<b>Level of Waverley funding in relation to running costs</b>	Medium (23% in 2006/07)
<b>Income received from funders other than Waverley</b>	£92,000
<b>Officer suggested priority grading</b>	High Priority 35/35

### Officer calculation

#### Unrestricted Funds

< 75% = low

76% - 124% = medium

> 125% = high

#### Waverley Funding

< 20% = low

21% - 40% = medium

> 41% = high



# WAVERLEY COMMUNITY PARTNERSHIP 2009/10

## EVALUATION SUMMARY SHEET

<b>Organisation</b>	Enterprise First
<b>Grant Requested</b>	£4,658
<b>Officer Recommended grant</b>	£4,658
<b>Summary of purpose for requested grant from application</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▶ 1:1 business advice clinics</li> <li>▶ free start-up business workshops</li> <li>▶ free skills training</li> <li>▶ free telephone advice</li> <li>▶ signposting for further support</li> <li>▶ extra support as a result of current economic climate</li> <li>▶ work closer with Waverley Training Services</li> </ul>
<b>Information From Latest Set of Accounts</b>	
<b>Gross Revenue Expenditure</b>	£273,324 for 9 mths
<b>Level of Unrestricted Funds</b>	Low (32% on an unassumed 12 mth period)
<b>Level of Waverley funding in relation to running costs</b>	Low (1%) 2% requested in 2009/10
<b>Income received from funders other than Waverley</b>	£168,000
<b>Officer suggested priority grading</b>	High Priority 34/35

### Officer calculation

#### **Unrestricted Funds**

< 75% = low

76% - 124% = medium

> 125% = high

### **Waverley Funding**

< 20% = low

21% - 40% = medium

> 41% = high



# WAVERLEY COMMUNITY PARTNERSHIP 2009/10

## EVALUATION SUMMARY SHEET

<b>Organisation</b>	Surrey Community Action – Village Hall Advisory Service
<b>Grant Requested</b>	£2,000
<b>Officer Recommended grant</b>	£2,000
<b>Summary of purpose for requested grant from application</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▶ Respond to requests for advice &amp; support</li> <li>▶ Provide information &amp; training to management committees</li> <li>▶ Share best practice examples of service providers &amp; sustainability projects</li> </ul>
<b>Information From Latest Set of Accounts</b>	
<b>Gross Revenue Expenditure</b>	£490,747 overall - £26,834 on village halls service
<b>Level of Unrestricted Funds</b>	Low
<b>Level of Waverley funding in relation to running costs</b>	0.5% requested in 2009/10 from overall costs 7.5% of village halls service costs
<b>Income received from funders other than Waverley</b>	£25,000 for village halls service
<b>Officer suggested priority grading</b>	High Priority 34/35

### Officer calculation

#### Unrestricted Funds

< 75% = low

76% - 124% = medium

> 125% = high

#### Waverley Funding

< 20% = low

21% - 40% = medium

> 41% = high



## WAVERLEY COMMUNITY PARTNERSHIP 2009/10

### EVALUATION SUMMARY SHEET

<b>Organisation</b>	Age Concern Waverley
<b>Grant Requested</b>	£36,000
<b>Officer Recommended grant</b>	£34,940
<b>Summary of purpose for requested grant from application</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▶ To continue &amp; expand the handyman service (part-funded by Voluntary Grants Partnership)</li> <li>▶ Continue to improve the provision &amp; cost of key safes</li> <li>▶ Operate &amp; expand the gardening introductory scheme (part-funded by Voluntary Grants Partnership)</li> <li>▶ Investigate need for befriending service &amp; make grant applications</li> <li>▶ Continue to source income for funding purposes</li> <li>▶ Continue to build on existing partnerships with CAB, Farnham ASSIST etc to co-ordinate outreach information &amp; advice services</li> <li>▶ Continue to support Rowleys through the Age Concern ACTIVAGE &amp; Silver Surfers Unit</li> </ul>
<b>Ward Member/Waverley Appointed Representative comments</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▶ An important service to the residents of the Borough and increasing numbers benefit</li> <li>▶ The service is hugely valued</li> <li>▶ Services fully comply with Waverley's corporate priorities</li> <li>▶ Provides an extensive, up-to-date information service and demand for this is increasing but a reduction in grant could have an impact on this</li> </ul>
<b>Information From Latest Set of Accounts</b>	
<b>Gross Revenue Expenditure</b>	£45,908
<b>Level of Unrestricted Funds</b>	High
<b>Level of Waverley funding in relation to running costs</b>	High (71% in 2007/08) 85% requested in 2009/10 on unrestricted costs
<b>Income received from funders other than Waverley</b>	£33,699
<b>Officer suggested priority grading</b>	High Priority 33/35

#### Officer calculation

#### **Unrestricted Funds**

< 75% = low

76% - 124% = medium

> 125% = high

#### **Waverley Funding**

< 20% = low

21% - 40% = medium

> 41% = high





## WAVERLEY COMMUNITY PARTNERSHIP 2009/10

### EVALUATION SUMMARY SHEET

<b>Organisation</b>	Citizens Advice Waverley
<b>Grant Requested</b>	£227,823
<b>Officer Recommended grant</b>	£227,823
<b>Summary of purpose for requested grant from application</b>	▶ To maintain basic infrastructure to support volunteers to deliver core service = office space, IT facilities, phone systems, development & training activity, management & admin support, essential travel costs
<b>Information From Latest Set of Accounts</b>	
<b>Gross Revenue Expenditure</b>	£302,493
<b>Level of Unrestricted Funds</b>	Low
<b>Level of Waverley funding in relation to running costs</b>	High (69% in 2007/08) 70% requested in 2009/10
<b>Income received from funders other than Waverley</b>	£115,484
<b>Officer suggested priority grading</b>	Medium Priority 28/35

#### Officer calculation

#### **Unrestricted Funds**

< 75% = low

76% - 124% = medium

> 125% = high

#### **Waverley Funding**

< 20% = low

21% - 40% = medium

> 41% = high



# WAVERLEY COMMUNITY PARTNERSHIP 2009/10

## EVALUATION SUMMARY SHEET

<b>Organisation</b>	Cranfold Job Seekers Club
<b>Grant Requested</b>	£1,000
<b>Officer Recommended grant</b>	£500
<b>Summary of purpose for requested grant from application</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▶ Contribution to CAB for use of premises</li> <li>▶ Professional indemnity insurance</li> <li>▶ Telephone &amp; internet costs</li> <li>▶ Stationery &amp; office supplies</li> <li>▶ Demand for service may increase due to current economic climate</li> </ul>
<b>Ward Member/Waverley Appointed Representative comments</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▶ Greatly valued by the community. Service is almost unique in the country</li> <li>▶ Demand is likely to increase in current economic climate</li> <li>▶ Fully meets Waverley's corporate priorities</li> <li>▶ The Club rents space at Cranleigh CAB and due to its uncertain future, funds may suddenly be required to finance a move to alternative premises</li> </ul>
<b>Information From Latest Set of Accounts</b>	
<b>Gross Revenue Expenditure</b>	£5,095
<b>Level of Unrestricted Funds</b>	High (204%)*
<b>Level of Waverley funding in relation to running costs</b>	Medium 23% requested in 2009/10
<b>Income received from funders other than Waverley</b>	£7,759
<b>Officer suggested priority grading</b>	Medium Priority 28/35

\*see officer financial assessment

### Officer calculation

#### **Unrestricted Funds**

< 75% = low

76% - 124% = medium

> 125% = high

#### **Waverley Funding**

< 20% = low

21% - 40% = medium

> 41% = high



# WAVERLEY COMMUNITY PARTNERSHIP 2009/10

## EVALUATION SUMMARY SHEET

<b>Organisation</b>	Blackwater Valley Countryside Partnership
<b>Grant Requested</b>	£7,714
<b>Officer Recommended grant</b>	£7,239
<b>Summary of purpose for requested grant from application</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▶ Attend Farnham Quarry Liaison Group</li> <li>▶ Maintain &amp; promote a 35km length of the Blackwater Valley Path</li> <li>▶ Continue to improve the Badshot Lea Open Gap</li> <li>▶ Organise &amp; lead 5 conservation bodies in the Blackwater Valley</li> <li>▶ Provide information to local residents</li> <li>▶ Monitor planning lists</li> </ul>
<b>Information From Latest Set of Accounts</b>	
<b>Gross Revenue Expenditure</b>	£146,353
<b>Level of Unrestricted Funds</b>	Low (40%)
<b>Level of Waverley funding in relation to running costs</b>	Low (5% in 2007/08) 5% requested in 2009/10
<b>Income received from funders other than Waverley</b>	£115,029
<b>Officer suggested priority grading</b>	Medium Priority 26/35

### Officer calculation

#### **Unrestricted Funds**

< 75% = low

76% - 124% = medium

> 125% = high

#### **Waverley Funding**

< 20% = low

21% - 40% = medium

> 41% = high



## WAVERLEY COMMUNITY PARTNERSHIP 2009/10

### EVALUATION SUMMARY SHEET

<b>Organisation</b>	Hurtwood Control Trust
<b>Grant Requested</b>	£2,000
<b>Officer Recommended grant</b>	Nil *
<b>Summary of purpose for requested grant from application</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▶ Resurfacing work to car parks in Waverley</li> <li>▶ Increased mountain bike use and the conflict it creates with other users continues to put pressure on resources and funding will contribute towards these costs</li> </ul>
<b>Information From Latest Set of Accounts</b>	
<b>Gross Revenue Expenditure</b>	£69,648
<b>Level of Unrestricted Funds</b>	High (202%)**
<b>Level of Waverley funding in relation to running costs</b>	Low (1% in 2006/07) 3% requested in 2009/10
<b>Income received from funders other than Waverley</b>	£55,555
<b>Officer suggested priority grading</b>	Low Priority 24/35

\* Officer Comment:

The organisation scored low in its ability to meet Waverley's corporate objectives, value for money and details of how the money will be spent

\*\* see officer financial assessment

#### Officer calculation

##### **Unrestricted Funds**

< 75% = low

76% - 124% = medium

> 125% = high

##### **Waverley Funding**

< 20% = low

21% - 40% = medium

> 41% = high



## WAVERLEY COMMUNITY PARTNERSHIP 2009/10

### EVALUATION SUMMARY SHEET

<b>Organisation</b>	Surrey Farming & Wildlife Advisory Group
<b>Grant Requested</b>	£1,642
<b>Officer Recommended grant</b>	Nil *
<b>Summary of purpose for requested grant from application</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▶ Continue to provide advice on agri-environment schemes to improve uptake</li> <li>▶ Soil management to reduce flood risk</li> <li>▶ Improve water quality</li> <li>▶ Work on climate change issues</li> </ul>
<b>Information From Latest Set of Accounts</b>	
<b>Gross Revenue Expenditure</b>	£4,892,378
<b>Level of Unrestricted Funds</b>	Low (8%)
<b>Level of Waverley funding in relation to running costs</b>	Low (negligible)
<b>Income received from funders other than Waverley</b>	£8,300 (surrey only)
<b>Officer suggested priority grading</b>	Low Priority 22/35

**\*Officer Comment:**

The organisation's work is important to Waverley's rural environment. However, as their work is principally with private landowners/farmers it is questionable how appropriate it is for Waverley to continue to support their core costs.

**Officer calculation**

**Unrestricted Funds**

< 75% = low

76% - 124% = medium

> 125% = high

**Waverley Funding**

< 20% = low

21% - 40% = medium

> 41% = high



# WAVERLEY COMMUNITY PARTNERSHIP 2009/10

## EVALUATION SUMMARY SHEET

<b>Organisation</b>	Surrey Heathland Project
<b>Grant Requested</b>	£11,442
<b>Officer Recommended grant</b>	£10,714
<b>Summary of purpose for requested grant from application</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▶ Website, volunteering involvement, guided walks &amp; events</li> <li>▶ Heathland management input/advice, restarting Royal &amp; Bagmore Commons' public consultation re grazing, promoting grazing across Wealden heathland sites, encouraging responsible use of heathland, participate in South East England Wildlife Group, early succession heath development &amp; training for SITA funded SWT heath tiger beetle project</li> </ul>
<b>Information From Latest Set of Accounts</b>	
<b>Gross Revenue Expenditure</b>	£147,994
<b>Level of Unrestricted Funds</b>	0
<b>Level of Waverley funding in relation to running costs</b>	Low (7% in 2007/08) 11 % requested in 2009/10
<b>Income received from funders other than Waverley</b>	£97,921
<b>Officer suggested priority grading</b>	Medium Priority 27/35

### Officer calculation

#### Unrestricted Funds

< 75% = low

76% - 124% = medium

> 125% = high

#### Waverley Funding

< 20% = low

21% - 40% = medium

> 41% = high



# WAVERLEY COMMUNITY PARTNERSHIP 2009/10

## EVALUATION SUMMARY SHEET

<b>Organisation</b>	Surrey Hills AONB Board
<b>Grant Requested</b>	£6,314
<b>Officer Recommended grant</b>	£6,314
<b>Summary of purpose for requested grant from application</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▶ Publish &amp; promote Surrey Hills AONB Management Plan</li> <li>▶ Administer Surrey Hills Leader fund</li> <li>▶ Market the Explore Surrey Hills &amp; Surrey Short Stay Guide 2009</li> <li>▶ Lead Hindhead Together Joint Advisory Committee</li> <li>▶ Enhancement of country lanes through a de-clutter programme</li> <li>▶ Support establishment of the Surrey Hills Society</li> <li>▶ Surrey Hills newsletter</li> <li>▶ Meetings</li> <li>▶ Advice on landscape &amp; amenity impacts of proposed developments</li> <li>▶ Develop proposals for a new distribution centre with Surrey Quality Producers Ltd</li> </ul>
<b>Ward Member/Waverley Appointed Representative comments</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▶ Waverley has not benefited from the service as much as other Surrey districts but opportunities are there for a greater participation in the future</li> <li>▶ With continued financial support from Waverley and active management it should be possible to lever in substantial support for Waverley-based projects</li> </ul>
<b>Information From Latest Set of Accounts</b>	
<b>Gross Revenue Expenditure</b>	£204,763
<b>Level of Unrestricted Funds</b>	N/a part of SCC accounts
<b>Level of Waverley funding in relation to running costs</b>	Low (3% requested in 2007/08) 3% requested in 2009/10
<b>Income received from funders other than Waverley</b>	£198,094
<b>Officer suggested priority grading</b>	High Priority 33/35

### Officer calculation

#### Unrestricted Funds

< 75% = low

76% - 124% = medium

> 125% = high

#### Waverley Funding

< 20% = low

21% - 40% = medium

> 41% = high



## WAVERLEY COMMUNITY PARTNERSHIP 2009/10

### EVALUATION SUMMARY SHEET

<b>Organisation</b>	Farnham & District Sports Advisory Council
<b>Grant Requested</b>	£420
<b>Officer Recommended grant</b>	£436 *
<b>Summary of purpose for requested grant from application</b>	<p>The role of the sports council has become critical as an important link between the strategic providers &amp; the grassroots sport in the community.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▶ Sports personality awards</li> <li>▶ Sports development - coaching/training</li> <li>▶ Bursaries</li> <li>▶ Grants for equipment &amp; facilities</li> <li>▶ Sponsorship for sports events for youth tournaments</li> </ul> <p>Venues for meetings</p>
<b>Information From Latest Set of Accounts</b>	
<b>Gross Revenue Expenditure</b>	£901
<b>Level of Unrestricted Funds</b>	High (170%)
<b>Level of Waverley funding in relation to running costs</b>	High (46% in 2007/08) 21% requested in 2009/10
<b>Income received from funders other than Waverley</b>	£130
<b>Officer suggested priority grading</b>	High Priority 32/35

\* Officer Comment:

£436 is recommended in order to bring Farnham into line with the other sports advisory councils.

#### Officer calculation

##### **Unrestricted Funds**

< 75% = low

76% - 124% = medium

> 125% = high

##### **Waverley Funding**

< 20% = low

21% - 40% = medium

> 41% = high





## WAVERLEY COMMUNITY PARTNERSHIP 2009/10

### EVALUATION SUMMARY SHEET

<b>Organisation</b>	Sport Godalming
<b>Grant Requested</b>	£436
<b>Officer Recommended grant</b>	£436
<b>Summary of purpose for requested grant from application</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▶ Promote participation in sport as part of 'Active Peoples Campaign'</li> <li>▶ Assist schools in enhancing the PE curriculum by 2 hrs per wk</li> <li>▶ Go for Gold Fund</li> <li>▶ Work with the community to develop a long term plan for Broadwater Park</li> <li>▶ Assist with Godalming Healthcheck's proposals on sport &amp; recreation</li> <li>▶ Promote the use of Godalming Leisure Centre</li> <li>▶ Encourage clubs to apply for accreditation</li> <li>▶ Hold forums</li> </ul>
<b>Information From Latest Set of Accounts</b>	
<b>Gross Revenue Expenditure</b>	£2,569
<b>Level of Unrestricted Funds</b>	Low (15%)
<b>Level of Waverley funding in relation to running costs</b>	Low (17% in 2007 estimated) 15% in 2008
<b>Income received from funders other than Waverley</b>	£800
<b>Officer suggested priority grading</b>	High Priority 33/35

#### Officer calculation

#### **Unrestricted Funds**

< 75% = low

76% - 124% = medium

> 125% = high

#### **Waverley Funding**

< 20% = low

21% - 40% = medium

> 41% = high



# WAVERLEY COMMUNITY PARTNERSHIP 2009/10

## EVALUATION SUMMARY SHEET

<b>Organisation</b>	Sport Haslemere
<b>Grant Requested</b>	£500
<b>Officer Recommended grant</b>	£436
<b>Summary of purpose for requested grant from application</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▶ Sports development awards</li> <li>▶ Sponsorship for local sports events</li> <li>▶ Venues for regular meetings</li> <li>▶ Support WBC community sports network</li> <li>▶ Sport development coaching/training</li> </ul>
<b>Information From Latest Set of Accounts</b>	
<b>Gross Revenue Expenditure</b>	£396
<b>Level of Unrestricted Funds</b>	£1,345
<b>Level of Waverley funding in relation to running costs</b>	High (107% in 2007/08) 22% requested in 2009/10
<b>Income received from funders other than Waverley</b>	nil
<b>Officer suggested priority grading</b>	Medium priority 29/35

### Officer calculation

#### Unrestricted Funds

< 75% = low

76% - 124% = medium

> 125% = high

#### Waverley Funding

< 20% = low

21% - 40% = medium

> 41% = high



# WAVERLEY COMMUNITY PARTNERSHIP 2009/10

## EVALUATION SUMMARY SHEET

<b>Organisation</b>	Cranleigh Arts Centre
<b>Grant Requested</b>	£31,327
<b>Officer Recommended grant</b>	£31,327
<b>Summary of purpose for requested grant from application</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▶ To open arts centre to public free of charge 6 days a week</li> <li>▶ Put on over 100 performances &amp; events</li> <li>▶ 1300 regular workshops &amp; hirings</li> <li>▶ to handle 42,000 visitors</li> <li>▶ seek a 'potter in residence' and re-launch the pottery workshop</li> <li>▶ continue to increase number of volunteers (currently 60)</li> <li>▶ continue to work with partner organisations</li> </ul>
<b>Ward Member/Waverley Appointed Representative Comments received?</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▶ High value service to the community that enriches the lives of all</li> <li>▶ High benefit to the community through diverse range of activities</li> <li>▶ Meets Waverley's corporate priorities to a high extent</li> <li>▶ Main issue affecting the organisation's ability to deliver in 2009/10 are financial</li> </ul>
<b>Information From Latest Set of Accounts</b>	
<b>Gross Revenue Expenditure</b>	£163,498 (unrestricted)
<b>Level of Unrestricted Funds</b>	Low (20%)
<b>Level of Waverley funding in relation to running costs</b>	Medium (25%) 17% requested in 2009/10
<b>Income received from funders other than Waverley</b>	£8,645
<b>Officer suggested priority grading</b>	High Priority 34/35

### Officer calculation

#### Unrestricted Funds

< 75% = low

76% - 124% = medium

> 125% = high

#### Waverley Funding

< 20% = low

21% - 40% = medium

> 41% = high



## WAVERLEY COMMUNITY PARTNERSHIP 2009/10

### EVALUATION SUMMARY SHEET

<b>Organisation</b>	Farnham Maltings
<b>Grant Requested</b>	£40,180
<b>Officer Recommended grant</b>	£40,180
<b>Summary of purpose for requested grant from application</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▶ Overall service, 35 creative business use workspace, 250 artists will receive training, 25 artists will be given work through the workshop package programme, 200 hours of management support for Cranleigh Arts Centre,</li> <li>▶ Theatre &amp; dance - 10 theatre companies will be supported, 2 new shows, promotion of 45 performances in the borough, explore the potential for supporting amateur theatre makers,</li> <li>▶ craft – craft shows, will host a potter in residence, work in partnership with New Ashgate Gallery, run a festival of knitting</li> <li>▶ participation – spring festival, summer festival of gardening, Creative Communities Project, programmes for older people (Growing Bolder)</li> </ul>
<b>Information From Latest Set of Accounts</b>	
<b>Gross Revenue Expenditure</b>	£780,568
<b>Level of Unrestricted Funds</b>	Low
<b>Level of Waverley funding in relation to running costs</b>	Low (5%) 3 % requested in 2009/10 but may include restricted expenditure
<b>Income received from funders other than Waverley</b>	£516,604
<b>Officer suggested priority grading</b>	High Priority 34/35

#### Officer calculation

#### **Unrestricted Funds**

< 75% = low

76% - 124% = medium

> 125% = high

#### **Waverley Funding**

< 20% = low

21% - 40% = medium

> 41% = high



## WAVERLEY COMMUNITY PARTNERSHIP 2009/10

### EVALUATION SUMMARY SHEET

<b>Organisation</b>	Godalming Museum
<b>Grant Requested</b>	£2,730
<b>Officer Recommended grant</b>	£2,730
<b>Summary of purpose for requested grant from application</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▶ Continue to improve the standards of collection care &amp; service delivery</li> <li>▶ expand community access</li> <li>▶ support the development of the Museum programmes for education &amp; outreach</li> <li>▶ In particular to pay maintenance agreements for environmental monitoring &amp; collections database programmes, improve information panels &amp; labelling of displays, purchase conservation package for the library &amp; archive collections.</li> </ul>
<b>Information From Latest Set of Accounts</b>	
<b>Gross Revenue Expenditure</b>	£31,924
<b>Level of Unrestricted Funds</b>	High
<b>Level of Waverley funding in relation to running costs</b>	Low (8%) 6% requested in 2009/10
<b>Income received from funders other than Waverley</b>	£35,083
<b>Officer suggested priority grading</b>	High Priority 34/35

#### Officer calculation

##### Unrestricted Funds

< 75% = low

76% - 124% = medium

> 125% = high

##### Waverley Funding

< 20% = low

21% - 40% = medium

> 41% = high



## WAVERLEY COMMUNITY PARTNERSHIP 2009/10

### EVALUATION SUMMARY SHEET

<b>Organisation</b>	Haslemere Educational Museum
<b>Grant Requested</b>	£3,000
<b>Officer Recommended grant</b>	£3,000
<b>Summary of purpose for requested grant from application</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▶ Primary focus will be events &amp; exhibitions programmes, conservation &amp; documentation of collections, extensive educational programme, implementation of a coordinated fundraising strategy, Places for People Project, programme for pre-school children, develop interpretation of local natural heritage &amp; environment, increase use of new Local History Photographic Database, development of website, new interactive space in the galleries, promote membership of Museum, promote Museum's bequest leaflet.</li> </ul>
<b>Ward Member/Waverley Appointed Representative comments</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▶ A major asset to both Haslemere and the Borough</li> <li>▶ Very good value in relation to the facilities provided</li> <li>▶ Meets Waverley's corporate priorities to a medium/high extent</li> <li>▶ Waverley residents benefit to a medium extent</li> <li>▶ Museum has worked hard to become self-sustaining but has fewer opportunities for further savings</li> </ul>
<b>Information From Latest Set of Accounts</b>	
<b>Gross Revenue Expenditure</b>	£175,217
<b>Level of Unrestricted Funds</b>	High
<b>Level of Waverley funding in relation to running costs</b>	Low (2% in 2007/08)
<b>Income received from funders other than Waverley</b>	Not possible to say
<b>Officer suggested priority grading</b>	High Priority 32/35

#### Officer calculation

#### **Unrestricted Funds**

< 75% = low

76% - 124% = medium

> 125% = high

#### **Waverley Funding**

< 20% = low

21% - 40% = medium

> 41% = high



## WAVERLEY COMMUNITY PARTNERSHIP 2009/10

### EVALUATION SUMMARY SHEET

<b>Organisation</b>	Haslemere Hall Trust
<b>Grant Requested</b>	£10,000
<b>Officer Recommended grant</b>	£4,731 *
<b>Purpose for requested grant</b>	▶ General costs to run and maintain the hall
<b>Summary of purpose for requested grant from application</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▶ Major asset to both Haslemere and the Borough</li> <li>▶ Residents benefit from the service to a high extent</li> <li>▶ Meets Waverley's corporate priorities to a high extent</li> <li>▶ The requirement to replace the roof at a cost of £150,000 could put the future of the Hall at jeopardy. A large part of existing funds are restricted by covenant and cannot be used for building maintenance</li> </ul>
<b>Information From Latest Set of Accounts</b>	
<b>Gross Revenue Expenditure</b>	£128,340
<b>Level of Unrestricted Funds</b>	Low
<b>Level of Waverley funding in relation to running costs</b>	Low (3% in 2007/08) 10% requested in 2009/10
<b>Income received from funders other than Waverley</b>	nil
<b>Officer suggested priority grading</b>	Medium Priority 27/35

\* Officer comment:

The officer recommendation is to maintain the existing grant level, The trust applied for £10,000 to cover an estimated deficit.

#### Officer calculation

#### **Unrestricted Funds**

< 75% = low

76% - 124% = medium

> 125% = high

#### **Waverley Funding**

< 20% = low

21% - 40% = medium

> 41% = high



## WAVERLEY COMMUNITY PARTNERSHIP 2009/10

### EVALUATION SUMMARY SHEET

<b>Organisation</b>	New Farnham Repertory Actors' Company
<b>Grant Requested</b>	£6,000
<b>Officer Recommended grant</b>	£5,000
<b>Summary of purpose for requested grant from application</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▶ To present a 5 week season of three plays &amp; 4 Sunday night events for an audience of 5,000.</li> <li>▶ Funding would go towards production costs including lighting rig &amp; hire of lamps &amp; labour</li> </ul>
<b>Information From Latest Set of Accounts</b>	
<b>Gross Revenue Expenditure</b>	£66,005
<b>Level of Unrestricted Funds</b>	Low (5%)
<b>Level of Waverley funding in relation to running costs</b>	10% requested in 2009/10
<b>Income received from funders other than Waverley</b>	£8,660
<b>Officer suggested priority grading</b>	Medium Priority 29/35

#### Officer calculation

#### **Unrestricted Funds**

< 75% = low

76% - 124% = medium

> 125% = high

#### **Waverley Funding**

< 20% = low

21% - 40% = medium

> 41% = high





# WAVERLEY COMMUNITY PARTNERSHIP 2009/10

## EVALUATION SUMMARY SHEET

<b>Organisation</b>	Rural Life Centre
<b>Grant Requested</b>	£3,198
<b>Officer Recommended grant</b>	£3,198
<b>Summary of purpose for requested grant from application</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▶ Maintain &amp; improve museum's infrastructure</li> <li>▶ Redevelop the courtyard area</li> <li>▶ Develop programme of lifelong learning</li> </ul>
<b>Information From Latest Set of Accounts</b>	
<b>Gross Revenue Expenditure</b>	£132,147
<b>Level of Unrestricted Funds</b>	Low
<b>Level of Waverley funding in relation to running costs</b>	Low (2% in 2007/08) 3 % requested in 2009/10
<b>Income received from funders other than Waverley</b>	£22,646
<b>Officer suggested priority grading</b>	High Priority 33/35

### Officer calculation

#### Unrestricted Funds

< 75% = low

76% - 124% = medium

> 125% = high

#### Waverley Funding

< 20% = low

21% - 40% = medium

> 41% = high



## WAVERLEY COMMUNITY PARTNERSHIP 2009/10

### EVALUATION SUMMARY SHEET

<b>Organisation</b>	40 Degreez
<b>Grant Requested</b>	£6,000
<b>Officer Recommended grant</b>	£4,140 *
<b>Summary of purpose for requested grant from application</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▶ To minimise the projected shortfall for 2009/10</li> <li>▶ Contribution towards staffing costs to employ a f/t youth worker and two part-time administrators</li> <li>▶ To increase opportunities for young people at 40 degreez – partnership working with Frensham Heights school</li> <li>▶ Partnership working with PCT &amp; local schools to increase use of building</li> <li>▶ Possibly towards upgrading of building although a small reserve is allocated towards this</li> </ul>
<b>Information From Latest Set of Accounts</b>	
<b>Gross Revenue Expenditure</b>	£35,917
<b>Level of Unrestricted Funds</b>	Low (26%) includes reserve funds but not phase 3 regeneration funds of £28,981
<b>Level of Waverley funding in relation to running costs</b>	Low (12% in 2007/08) 11% requested for 2009/10
<b>Income received from funders other than Waverley</b>	£9,560
<b>Officer suggested priority grading</b>	High Priority 31/35

\* The officer recommendation is to award funding specifically towards the new youth worker and development of projects and activities, which would be more measurable.

#### Officer calculation

##### **Unrestricted Funds**

< 75% = low

76% - 124% = medium

> 125% = high

##### **Waverley Funding**

< 20% = low

21% - 40% = medium

> 41% = high



## WAVERLEY COMMUNITY PARTNERSHIP 2009/10

### EVALUATION SUMMARY SHEET

<b>Organisation</b>	Disability Challengers Farnham
<b>Grant Requested</b>	£10,000
<b>Officer Recommended grant</b>	£10,000
<b>Summary of purpose for requested grant from application</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▶ Playcentre – increase capacity at after school club, develop playground for pre-school children, increase 1:1 capacity at playschemes, develop working relationships with local SEN &amp; mainstream schools, start 'sleepovers'.</li> <li>▶ Youthcentre – develop service for 18-25 yr olds in evenings &amp; Mon to Fri, increase youth evenings to 3 per week, recruit a f/t youth leader, increase 1:1 capacity, start samba drumming, start activity weekends.</li> </ul>
<b>Information From Latest Set of Accounts</b>	
<b>Gross Revenue Expenditure</b>	£1,014,611*
<b>Level of Unrestricted Funds</b>	Low (55%)*
<b>Level of Waverley funding in relation to running costs</b>	Nil funding in 2007/08 1% requested in 2009/10
<b>Income received from funders other than Waverley</b>	£100,875
<b>Officer suggested priority grading</b>	High Priority 35/35

\* see officer financial assessment

#### Officer calculation

##### **Unrestricted Funds**

< 75% = low

76% - 124% = medium

> 125% = high

##### **Waverley Funding**

< 20% = low

21% - 40% = medium

> 41% = high



# WAVERLEY COMMUNITY PARTNERSHIP 2009/10

## EVALUATION SUMMARY SHEET

<b>Organisation</b>	A Place To Be Youth Group
<b>Grant Requested</b>	£2,000
<b>Officer Recommended grant</b>	£2,000
<b>Summary of purpose for requested grant from application</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▶ General running costs</li> <li>▶ To implement accredited award schemes eg Duke of Edinburgh</li> <li>▶ Woodwork instruction to develop focus &amp; skills</li> <li>▶ Focus on individual needs of vulnerable young people</li> </ul>
<b>Ward Member/Waverley Appointed Representative comments</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▶ Excellent facilities for all young people in the town</li> <li>▶ Meets Waverley's corporate priorities</li> <li>▶ Group needs to expand to meet growing demand for services to young people in Haslemere</li> <li>▶ Lack of funding may hinder expansion of the service</li> </ul>
<b>Information From Latest Set of Accounts</b>	
<b>Gross Revenue Expenditure</b>	£12,965
<b>Level of Unrestricted Funds</b>	No reserves
<b>Level of Waverley funding in relation to running costs</b>	Low (15% in 2007/08) 13% proposed in 2009/10
<b>Income received from funders other than Waverley</b>	£10,074
<b>Officer suggested priority grading</b>	High Priority 35/35

**Officer calculation**

**Unrestricted Funds**

< 75% = low

76% - 124% = medium

> 125% = high

**Waverley Funding**

< 20% = low

21% - 40% = medium

> 41% = high



# WAVERLEY COMMUNITY PARTNERSHIP 2009/10

## EVALUATION SUMMARY SHEET

<b>Organisation</b>	Sculpt It
<b>Grant Requested</b>	£1,400
<b>Officer Recommended grant</b>	£1,400
<b>Summary of purpose for requested grant from application</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▶ To continue developing the organisation</li> <li>▶ Director &amp; admin support time – development of Art Hut, improve organisational systems, improve policies, develop afterschool creative club, fundraising &amp; project planning for Winkworth sculpture, coordinating sculpture exhibition at Haslemere Museum, supporting &amp; advising local schools, researching most effective ways to work with partners</li> <li>▶ Professional led developments – investment in new freelance artists, research into funding opportunities, involvement in community development</li> </ul>
<b>Information From Latest Set of Accounts</b>	
<b>Gross Revenue Expenditure</b>	£41,630 (including cost of sales)
<b>Level of Unrestricted Funds</b>	Low
<b>Level of Waverley funding in relation to running costs</b>	Low (4% in 2007/08) 3% requested in 2009/10
<b>Income received from funders other than Waverley</b>	nil
<b>Officer suggested priority grading</b>	Medium Priority 28/35

Comms/exec/2008-09/266

### Officer calculation

#### **Unrestricted Funds**

< 75% = low

76% - 124% = medium

> 125% = high

#### **Waverley Funding**

< 20% = low

21% - 40% = medium

> 41% = high